



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/579,206

03/12/2007

Laurent Bournay

PET-2242

7112

23599

7590

04/15/2010

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.

2200 CLARENDON BLVD.

SUITE 1400

ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TAM M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/15/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/579,206	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOURNAY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TAM M. NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/12/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 1797

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The expression “an effluent (C) that is enriched with multi-branched paraffins is recovered” in lines 5-6 of claim 1 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear if the effluent is from the isomerization unit.

The expression “a mixture of this hydrocarbon” in line 6 from the last line of claim 1, renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear what hydrocarbon mixture Applicants intent to claim.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 1797

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zarchy et al. (US 5,245,102) in view of Ragil et al. (US 6,156,950).

Zarchy discloses an isomerization process wherein a feedstock comprising normal paraffins, benzene, and iso-paraffins wherein at least a portion of the feedstock after separation of at least a portion of branched paraffins is introduced into an isomerization zone to increase branching of hydrocarbons of the feedstock. An effluent from the isomerization zone is charged to a stabilizer to separate C<sub>4</sub> and lighter hydrocarbons from the effluent which is then passed into a deisohexanizer to produce an overhead stream comprising normal pentane, isopentane, and di-branched C<sub>6</sub> paraffins and a bottom stream containing C<sub>7</sub> branched paraffins, cyclohexane, and naphthalenes. The overhead stream is then passed into an adsorption zone to separate normal pentane from isopentane and di-branched C<sub>6</sub> paraffins. The normal pentane is recycled back to the isomerization zone. Zarchy further teaches that the feedstock is introduced at least in part at the

Art Unit: 1797

stabilizer. See abstract; Figure 1, col. 3, line 60 through col. 5, line 26; col. 10, line 54 through col. 12, line 28. Table 1,

Zarchy does not disclose a step of using a membrane unit as claimed.

Ragil disclose a process for separation normal paraffins from branched paraffins by utilizing a membrane unit. Ragil further teaches that a membrane unit such disclosed by WO 96/01687 and EP-778075 can be employed. The WO reference teaches a membrane comprising a MFI zeolite and ions (e.g., Na) and it is a nanocrystallized membrane. The EP reference teaches that the membrane can be base on a LTA-type zeolite. See col.4, line 50 through col. 5, line 25; col. 9, line 66 through col. 10, line 50.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Zarchy by utilizing a membrane unit as suggested by Ragil because the membrane unit is simple and operated continuously compared to an adsorption unit.

Ragil does not specifically teach that the membrane comprises polymer.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Ragil by utilizing a membrane comprising polymer because it is within the level one of skill in the art to use any effective membrane including a membrane comprising polymer.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over references as applied to claim 1 above alone or alternatively and further in view of Rice (US 6,395,950 B1).

Zarchy does not specifically teach that the deisohexane is a partition column.

Art Unit: 1797

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process the process of Zarchy by utilizing a partition column because it is known that a partition column is effective to produce at least three different output streams.

Alternatively, Rice teaches a partition column for separation a mixture of paraffins. See abstract; Figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Zarchy by utilizing a partition column as suggested by Rice because such column is effective to separate the mixture into three different streams and it is an advantage to use a partition column in term of capital investment.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAM M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tam M. Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1797

TN  
/Tam M. Nguyen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797